

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,298	05/03/2001	Christian Walsdorff	51416	5427	
26474	7590 10/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW			JOHNSON, E	JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1754		
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		i				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
065 4-45 0	09/847,298	WALSDORFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Edward M. Johnson	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	entember 2005					
·						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 5-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,6 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers	or and the second secon					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
application from the International Bureau		u III tilis Mattoriai Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d .				
		<del>-</del> . :				
Machan and A						
Attachment(s) ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>,,</b> □ , , , , , , ,					
) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 1754

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments against the rejection of the last
Office action are persuasive and, therefore, the finality of
that action is withdrawn in favor of the new ground of rejection
presented in the instant Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-3, 5-6, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courty et al. US 4,381,415 in view of Petit et al. US 5,972,827.

Regarding claim 12, Courty '415 discloses a catalyst comprising delta alumina carrier (see column 3, lines 55-60), which would be a detectable amount, since it is specifically disclosed.

Courty fails to disclose a powder.

Petit '827 discloses a powder.

Art Unit: 1754

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the powder form of Petit in the oxychlorination catalyst of Courty because Petit discloses the powder form for an oxychlorination catalyst (title), wherein the performance of the catalyst is maintained constant over time (see column 2, lines 32-35).

Regarding claim 2, Convers '699 specifies the carrier selected from eta, gamma, chi, kappa, theta, delta, or rho alumina (see column 3, lines 55-60).

Regarding claim 3, Convers '699 discloses 0.05-0.6% copper and 0.1-1% potassium (see column 3, lines 34-38).

Regarding claims 5-6, Convers '699 discloses impregnation of the active elements on carrier (see column 3, lines 64-68) and copper chloride (see Example 3).

4. Claims 2-3, 5-6, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Convers et al. US 4,460,699 in view of Petit.

Regarding claim 12, Convers '699 discloses an oxychlorination catalyst comprising a gamma, eta, or delta alumina carrier (see column 4, lines 51-61), which would be a detectable amount, since it is specifically disclosed.

Convers '699 fails to disclose a powder.

Petit '827 discloses a powder.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,298

Art Unit: 1754

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the powder form of Petit in the oxychlorination catalyst of Convers because Petit discloses the powder form for an oxychlorination catalyst (title), wherein the performance of the catalyst is maintained constant over time (see column 2, lines 32-35).

Regarding claim 2, Convers '699 discloses about 17.1% and 22.6% of the catalyst (see Examples 3-4).

Regarding claim 3, Convers '699 discloses 1-7 percent copper (see column 5, lines 25-26), lithium, sodium, potassium, rubidium, or cesium on a 0.05 to 1.0 molar basis relative to copper (see column 5, lines 29-35), and a surface area of 100-200 square meters per gram (see column 4, lines 62-66).

Regarding claims 5-6, Convers '699 discloses impregnating 1-7 percent copper (see column 5, lines 25-26 and Example 1), lithium, sodium, potassium, rubidium, or cesium on a 0.05 to 1.0 molar basis relative to copper using copper chloride (see column 5, lines 29-35 and Examples), and a surface area of 100-200 square meters per gram (see column 4, lines 62-66).

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman

Art Unit: 1754

can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

MM M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

**EMJ**